```
1
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                           DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
 3
 4
 5
       United States of America,
                                      Case No. 14-CR-358(DSD/JJK)
                                   )
 6
                Plaintiff,
 7
                                      Minneapolis, Minnesota
          VS.
                                    ) November 13, 2014
 8
       Roxanne Merrell,
                                       10:15 a.m.
                                    )
 9
                Defendant.
                                    )
10
11
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE JANIE S. MAYERON
12
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
                       CONTINUED DETENTION HEARING
13
14
       APPEARANCES:
15
       For the Plaintiff:
                               United States Attorney's Office
16
                                 KATHARINE T. BUZICKY, ESQ.
                                 300 South 4th Street
17
                                 Suite 600
                                 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
18
       For the Defendant:
                               Ellis Law Office
                                 DEBORAH K. ELLIS, ESQ.
19
                                 101 East Fifth Street
                                 Suite 2626
20
                                 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
21
2.2
       DIGITAL RECORDING TRANSCRIBED BY:
23
       Official Court Reporter: JEANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR
24
                                 Suite 146 U.S. Courthouse
                                 316 North Robert Street
25
                                 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
```

1 PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We are here 4 today in the continuation of the Detention Hearing in the 5 matter of the United States of America versus Roxanne Merrell, Court File No. 14-358. 6 7 If counsel could identify themselves, starting first with counsel for the United States? 8 9 MS. BUZICKY: Good morning, Your Honor, Katharine 10 Buzicky on behalf of the United States. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. And on behalf of Ms. 12 Merrell? MS. ELLIS: Deborah Ellis, Your Honor, appearing 13 14 on behalf of Ms. Merrell, who is present. 15 THE COURT: All right. As I indicated, we are 16 here today on the continuation of the detention hearing. 17 the parties recall, I continued this hearing so that I could 18 gather more information regarding the State Court 19 proceedings which has been provided to me via Ms. Ellis to 20 Ms. Perez from Pretrial Services, and also to give Counsel 21 for the parties the opportunity to brief the issue as to 2.2 whether there are any legal constraints on me in terms of 23 allowing Ms. Merrell to return to the home and be in the 24 presence of her minor daughter. And Counsel have responded

to that and provided me with those briefs, and I have those,

```
1
                 So, I am prepared to proceed at this time.
       as well.
 2
                 Let me just ask, I know that Ms. Ellis has a
 3
       witness who is here to testify on Ms. Merrell's behalf.
 4
       Before I do that, let me ask whether the Government has
 5
       anything further it wishes to say right now before we hear
       that testimony or any further evidence it wishes to put on?
 6
 7
                 MS. BUZICKY: Very briefly, Your Honor, I just
       wanted to alert the Court to one --
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Why don't you come over to the podium,
10
       please?
11
                 MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, prior to the hearing
12
       beginning I talked with Ms. Ellis regarding the use of the
13
       names of the children that are involved in the case. And as
14
       the Court is aware there are two minor children that Ms.
15
       Merrell has care and control over. And we agreed amongst us
16
       that we would refer to Minor A as the female child and Minor
17
       C for the male child.
18
                 THE COURT: Okay.
19
                 MS. BUZICKY: I just wanted to let the Court know.
20
       And I think that will obviate any need for redactions or
21
       other kinds of motions regarding sealing and things like
2.2
       that.
                 THE COURT: So Minor A for the female child and
23
       Minor C for the male child?
24
25
                 MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor.
```

1	THE COURT: All right, thank you. And Ms. Ellis,
2	would you like to proceed?
3	MS. ELLIS: At this time we would like to call
4	Geri Robbins to the stand.
5	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Robbins, you are going
6	to come on over here to the left of me.
7	THE WITNESS: Here?
8	THE COURT: So yes, come through there. There
9	you go. And then make your way around here to this box
10	thank you. If you would raise your right hand before you
11	get seated?
12	(Witness sworn.)
13	Thank you. Please be seated and state your full
14	name, spelling your last name please.
15	THE WITNESS: My first name is Geri, my middle
16	name is Jean, my last name is Robbins, R-o-b-b-i-n-s. The
17	first name Geri is G-e-r-i.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Ms. Ellis, you
19	may proceed.
20	GERI ROBBINS
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. ELLIS:
23	Q. Thank you, Your Honor.
24	Ms. Robbins, by whom are you employed?
25	A. I am employed by the State of Minnesota.

Q. In what capacity?

- 2 A. I am a guardian ad litem.
- 3 Q. And how long have you served as a guardian ad litem for
- 4 the State of Minnesota?
- 5 A. Since April of 2012.
- 6 Q. What is your educational background?
- 7 A. My Bachelor's Degree is in Social Work and my Master's
- 8 Degree is in Social Work.
- 9 Q. Before serving the State of Minnesota as a guardian ad
- 10 litem, where did you work?
- 11 A. Actually, I was home with my children just doing some
- mom jobs at a school, but I have a former history of child
- protection with St. Louis County; and a medical social
- 14 worker at Hennepin County Medical Center.
- 15 Q. Do you know Roxanne Merrell?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. How do you know Ms. Merrell?
- 18 A. I was assigned as the quardian ad litem for her children
- when the CHIPS Petition was brought to court.
- 20 Q. When you talk about CHIPS, am I correct that is a child
- in need of protection or services?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. That is a State Court proceeding?
- 24 A. That is in Washington County through Community Services.
- 25 Q. Do you recall the month that the CHIPS proceeding was

- 1 initiated in Washington County?
- 2 A. I was assigned in April of 2014, so in or around that
- 3 time, maybe late March.
- 4 Q. And as a guardian ad litem, what are your duties and
- 5 responsibilities?
- 6 A. Well, I work for the state and I am to advocate for the
- 7 best interest of the children and I am to make a
- 8 recommendation through the court about things that would be
- 9 helpful to them or the family.
- 10 Q. Have you been involved in the CHIPS proceeding since it
- 11 | was initiated?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. And who else has been involved in that proceeding?
- 14 A. There has been a number of providers. There is a child
- protection social worker from Washington County Community
- 16 Services. The children and Ms. Merrell all had
- 17 psychological evals by Campus Health. The children have had
- 18 individual therapy.
- 19 There is an in-home therapist that the family has
- 20 been getting services from for several months. And then, of
- 21 | course, I do my investigation, also.
- 22 Q. Are there also lawyers involved for Washington County?
- 23 A. Yes, there is the County Attorney that represents
- 24 | Community Services, and then Ms. Merrell has an attorney for
- 25 the CHIPS case. And the oldest child, Child A has an

- 1 attorney.
- Q. Now, are you aware of what the basis was for the initial
- 3 petition seeking services for the children?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. What is that?
- 6 A. My understanding was that Ms. Merrell took what would be
- 7 considered pornographic pictures of Child A from the neck
- 8 down. No face shot, no way to identify. And that she did
- 9 this to earn some money. The money was never paid to her.
- 10 The man who she knew already, had a prior relationship with,
- 11 knew him in some capacity, was in trouble.
- The police went to his computer and that is how
- 13 they found the picture. The picture was actually taken
- maybe three, almost four years ago. And Child A, until the
- 15 CHIPS Petition, had no idea that anything -- that any
- 16 picture or any abuse had occurred.
- 17 Q. And since you have been involved, have you learned of
- any other allegations of pornographic photographs being
- 19 taken?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Have you learned of any other alleged sexual misconduct?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Have you in your capacity as guardian ad litem written
- reports for the Court on a regular basis?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. And have you also appeared at court proceedings in the
- 2 | CHIPS matter?
- 3 A. Yes, every hearing I have been at.
- 4 Q. Am I correct that those hearings happen about every 45
- 5 days or thereabouts?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And before those hearings would you provide a report
- 8 that goes to the Judge via lawyers, and the lawyers?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And in addition to the lawyers you said that there was a
- 11 | community service representative. Is that person a child
- 12 | protection worker?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Has there been a child protection worker involved in
- 15 this case since you have been involved?
- 16 A. Yes, even before.
- 17 Q. Okay. And was there a time that Ms. Merrell was removed
- 18 from the home where she was living with her children?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you recall approximately how long she was out of the
- 21 home?
- 22 A. I think maybe three weeks. I am guessing. It wasn't a
- 23 | real long time, several weeks probably.
- 24 Q. How did it come about that she was permitted to move
- 25 back into the house?

- 1 A. Community Services did an investigation. And what they
- 2 determined was that the children would not be in danger if
- 3 she was residing with them. And so she had been living with
- 4 her grandmother, the children's great grandmother. So then
- 5 she came back to the home that is owned by her mother, the
- 6 children's grandmother.
- 7 Q. So, community service, the child protection worker
- 8 determined that the children wouldn't be in danger if she
- 9 returned home?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you also weigh in on that as to whether the children
- would be in danger if their mother lived with them?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. And what was your opinion?
- 15 A. I felt the children were safe in her care.
- 16 Q. Are you aware that a criminal complaint was filed
- 17 against Ms. Merrell in Washington County?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And was everyone in the CHIPS case made aware of that
- 20 parallel criminal case?
- 21 A. I am almost certain, yes. I am pretty sure, yes.
- 22 Q. Now, has your opinion as to whether Ms. Merrell's
- children would be in danger living with her changed at all
- 24 | since the time that she was allowed to move back in?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Has the County Attorney ever objected to her living back
- 2 | with her children?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Has the child protection worker from Washington County
- 5 | Social Services ever made any objection to her living back
- 6 | with the children?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. When you write your report and make your recommendations
- 9 to the Court, is there an opportunity for any party to
- 10 object to your report and recommendation?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And has anyone ever objected to your recommendation as
- far as the living arrangements with Ms. Merrell and her
- 14 children?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. In addition to having contact with the children, have
- 17 | you also had contact with Ms. Merrell?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Have you formed any opinion as to whether or not she is
- 20 likely to runaway or flee from these court proceedings?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What is your opinion?
- 23 A. I feel she has no interest in leaving. Her whole life
- 24 | is here. Her children are here. She has no money to leave.
- 25 She has nowhere to go. She is cooperating with Community

- 1 Services. And she knows that would mean she wasn't
- 2 cooperating and she doesn't want to do that. I think she
- 3 finds the services helpful.
- 4 Q. And you talked about services and I think you and I had
- 5 talked beforehand about this MTS therapy that she is
- 6 participating in?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Could you inform us as to what MTS stands for?
- 9 A. It is multisystemic family therapy. And it is offered
- 10 through Community Services in Washington County. And it is
- only offered through Community Services when there is a
- 12 CHIPS Petition. And it is to help alleviate having to
- remove children from the home. It is very intensive.
- Q. How did it come about that Ms. Merrell and her family
- 15 | had been participating in the MTS therapy?
- 16 A. I believe it was recommended through the parenting
- assessment that it would be helpful for them to have family
- 18 therapy, and this was an intensive program offered through
- 19 Community Services. And so the social worker took advantage
- of that opportunity. Cassandra Lee is the therapist of the
- 21 family.
- 22 Q. Is that in-home therapy that Ms. Lee provides?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So she goes to the residence in Cottage Grove and meets
- 25 | with Ms. Merrell and her children as needed?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Now, having worked with the children for -- what, 7, 8
- 3 months?
- 4 A. Yes, since the beginning of the petition, since April.
- 5 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether the children are in
- 6 danger at all with Ms. Merrell living with them?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
- 8 Q. What is that opinion?
- 9 A. Well, being that I get to know them better and better as
- 10 time goes on, I feel more and more sure that the children
- 11 | are not unsafe with her. Actually, they lean on her. There
- is a very strong bond. The parenting assessment referred to
- 13 that. She provides for their needs.
- I watch them talk to her. They want things from
- 15 her. They enjoy being with her. It is a very good
- 16 | situation for them. It is what they know and who they love.
- 17 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether it would be in the
- 18 best interest of Child A to have her mother removed from the
- 19 home and have no contact with her?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. What is that?
- 22 A. I think that would be very damaging for this child.
- 23 When I first went out, I went to meet her without her
- 24 | knowing I was coming to school. And she was afraid of me at
- 25 | first. She thought, oh-oh, it is someone coming to take me

1 away. And I told her who I was and what I did. And she 2 said, "Oh," she said, "the worst thing that ever happened to 3 me was I was put in a foster home. I have never been in a 4 foster home." 5 So, she was afraid at first. But now she knows me 6 and she is not. As time goes on, I see Ms. Merrell being 7 interested in the services, really liking the in-home 8 therapy. I talked with Cassandra Lee on October 7th, and 9 she said that Ms. Merrell was fully engaged, you know, they 10 go out about twice a week. So, I think it is a very good 11 thing. 12 Q. And Ms. Lee is a therapist through the multisystem 13 family therapy group, is that right? 14 A. Yes, yes. 15 Q. And do you have an opinion whether it would be in the 16 best interest of Child C if his mother was removed from the 17 home? 18 A. Well, I went to see Child C at school, also, the first 19 time, and he was very fearful of me. They are both 20 traumatized by the fact that they were placed in foster 21 care. They were still upset when they got to go back to 2.2 grandmother's house, but their mother could not be there. 23 They were just totally relieved to have her come home. 24 There is a strong bond here, so I think it would 25 be very damaging for both of the children not to be with

- 1 their mother.
- 2 Q. And you base that opinion on your training and
- 3 experience as guardian ad litem and in your capacity
- 4 representing those children?
- 5 A. Yes, and I also talked with the parenting assessor.
- Q. And so the parenting assessment has been done on Ms.
- 7 Merrell, is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 0. Was that done in the summer?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. And there have been court appearances since that time,
- 12 is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And am I correct that the next review hearing in the
- 15 CHIPS proceeding is scheduled for sometime in January?
- 16 A. I believe that is right.
- 17 Q. And in your opinion, has Ms. Merrell followed through
- 18 | with what has been requested of her by Community Service
- 19 child protection workers?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And do you have an opinion as to what the result would
- 22 be if Ms. Merrell was ordered not to have any contact
- 23 whatsoever with Child A?
- 24 A. I think it would be a huge, huge setback for her. I am
- 25 sure there has been a setback just from her mother being in

- 1 jail right now. There is a bond there. And because of the
- 2 issues Child A has, I think she is dependent on her mother
- 3 for help. And to have her not there is very disturbing to
- 4 her. And I think it would hold her back. I think it would
- 5 leave a scar.
- 6 Q. Could you just elaborate a little bit on the issues that
- 7 you -- that Child A has?
- 8 A. She has ADHD. She also has anxiety. She has PTSD. I
- 9 know she has -- if I can say the word, trichotillomania.
- 10 But, it turns out to be, it is anxiety-based and she will
- 11 pull out her eyebrows and her eyelashes when she is
- 12 stressed.
- 13 Q. Does she have an Individualized Education Plan?
- 14 A. Yes, she does.
- 15 Q. Does that require participation by parents, as well as
- 16 | educators?
- 17 A. Yes, parents are involved.
- 18 Q. Have you been involved with the school with her IEP at
- 19 all?
- 20 A. Not with her IEP, that was already set up when I came on
- 21 board.
- 22 Q. When you talked about some of these issues that she has,
- 23 ADHD, anxiety, PTSD, in your opinion, are these all related
- or at all related to Ms. Merrell's conduct with respect to
- 25 the photographing?

- A. No, Child A has had these for a number of years, many years. Since young childhood, I believe.
- MS. ELLIS: Thank you. I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Buzicky?
- 6 MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION

8 BY MS. BUZICKY:

7

- Q. Good morning, Ms. Robbins, how are you today?
- 10 A. I am fine, thank you.
- 11 Q. I have a few questions relating to your testimony here
- 12 today and also to the reports that you created as a part of
- 13 your guardian ad litem duties.
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. First things first. When you provide these reports from
- 16 | my reading of them, you actually go into the home. Is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And so you are aware that eight people are living in a
- 20 home of about 800 square feet?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you are aware that both Minor A and Minor C do not
- have bedrooms?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. They do not really even have beds, is that correct?

- 1 A. They have couches.
- 2 Q. They have couches. And that the Defendant sleeps on a
- 3 chair or a modified chair, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And so the children are in fact not given any real
- 6 measure of privacy because the living room where they are
- 7 living is a communal area that is accessible to anyone in
- 8 the home?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you are aware the home is in disarray and the smell
- 11 of some sort of animal litter or cat litter in the home?
- 12 A. I have not smelled any odors.
- 13 Q. And with respect to the state of the cleanliness of the
- 14 home, you have seen that it is not a clean home; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 | A. I have seen that there is clutter.
- 17 Q. Now, regarding your testimony relating to the bond
- 18 between the children, Minor A and Minor C and the Defendant,
- 19 have you had experience in cases other than this involving
- 20 sexual abuse?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. And so you are familiar, are you not, that many abusers
- use love, affection, regard, special interest, as a means to
- get to a child; don't they?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And many children who are abused by adults are actually
- 2 loving or even sometimes in love with their abuser?
- 3 A. Yes, they love them.
- 4 Q. And they rely on their abuser because that abuser has
- 5 given them special attention and special favors, is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. I don't believe so in this case; but I think, yes, as a
- 8 general rule that has happened.
- 9 Q. So that perception of a child abuser as a scary person
- with a scary, broken-down van is actually sort of a false
- 11 stereotype; isn't that correct?
- 12 A. Usually people know their abuser.
- 13 Q. Usually they know their abuser and have daily or weekly
- 14 access to their abuser because that is a family or
- friendship relationship; isn't it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Now you testified regarding your understanding of the
- 18 sexual abuse in this case, and you said that there was a
- 19 picture of a child, Minor A, and it was from the neck down.
- 20 In other words, no face; is that correct?
- 21 A. That is what I was told.
- 22 Q. And in fact, you are not aware of the entire scope of
- 23 | the child pornography there is in this case, then, are you?
- 24 A. Not entirely, no.
- 25 Q. So you are not aware that there were actually about

- 1 eight images, are you?
- 2 A. My understanding, I thought, was two.
- 3 Q. Now, to clarify and for the benefit of the Court, two
- 4 images are charged in this case.
- 5 A. Oh.
- 6 Q. But there are actually about eight images that the
- 7 Defendant is alleged to have created.
- Now, have you seen any of those images?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And so you are not aware that one of those -- or two of
- 11 those images that are charged in this case actually depict
- hands-on abuse by the Defendant, are you?
- 13 A. I was told that there was.
- 14 Q. And so do you know that in those pictures the
- Defendant's hands are alleged to be spreading the vaginal
- 16 lips of this little child apart and a close-up picture has
- been taken of the child's genitalia?
- 18 A. I was told that by Community Services.
- 19 Q. And those pictures were sent to a sex offender named
- 20 Travis Guenthner, were you aware of that?
- 21 A. I didn't know his name, but I knew it was a person that
- 22 Ms. Merrell knew.
- 23 Q. And that he had special ordered pictures, naked and
- 24 | below the waist, of this child. Did you know that?
- 25 A. I didn't know of his specific requirements I knew

- 1 pictures were given and.
- 2 Q. And you knew the Defendant did this in the expectation
- 3 of money, didn't you?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you know how much money that was to be?
- 6 A. I believe she was promised 4 or \$5,000 that never
- 7 materialized.
- 8 Q. Are you aware that the Defendant said that there was
- 9 \$5,000 promised, but Travis Guenthner claims that there were
- 10 \$100,000 promised?
- 11 A. I never heard that.
- 12 Q. Now, you mentioned that there had been follow through by
- 13 | the Defendant relating to the plans in place in Washington
- 14 | County, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. But, you are also aware that the Defendant was
- 17 | recommended to put Minor C in summer school and didn't do
- 18 | that, in fact; aren't you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And that she claimed that she had some sort of dispute
- 21 | with his teacher and that is why Minor C didn't go to summer
- 22 | school, is that correct?
- 23 A. I believe that was it, that she was hoping it would be a
- 24 | different teacher. I don't know who ultimately was doing
- 25 | summer school, but she chose not to send him.

1 Now you are talking about the number of people who are 2 involved in the protection and supervision of this family. 3 And you mention in your report a psychological evaluation. 4 Do you recall seeing documents related to a psychological 5 evaluation of Minor A? A. Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Do you want to tell us which report 8 you are referring to, what the date of it is? 9 MS. BUZICKY: Oh, certainly. This is a GAL report 10 that is dated July 8th, 2014, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MS. BUZICKY: And it is on page 3 towards the 13 bottom third of the report, the witness mentions, there is a 14 paragraph regarding a psychological evaluation of Minor A. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MS. BUZICKY: Now, that report --17 THE COURT: Let me make sure. Do you have a copy 18 of that report in front of you? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes I do. 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 BY MS. BUZICKY: 2.2 Now that report is by a psychologist, is that correct? A. Doctoral intern. 23 24 Doctoral intern. And that professional recommended that 25 Minor A was not to have any contact with the Defendant other

- 1 than supervised contact, is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And that she be placed in a therapeutic foster home, is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that that psychologist stated that Minor A is at
- 7 continued risk for emotional neglect by her mother, a lack
- 8 of attachment between Ms. Merrell and Minor A, and the
- 9 Defendant's inability to empathize with Minor A. Were you
- 10 aware of those findings?
- 11 A. Yes, I read that.
- 12 Q. And so it is not quite correct that everybody involved
- in this case is interested in seeing reunification, is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. The doctoral intern was not.
- 16 Q. One final question for you. Is it your understanding
- 17 | that the goals of the guardian ad litem program are, when
- 18 possible, to reunify families?
- 19 A. No, our goals are to always make the best
- 20 recommendations for the children, whatever that means.
- 21 | Q. And that is quite different from criminal prosecution,
- 22 | isn't it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MS. BUZICKY: No further questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Ms. Ellis, any follow-up?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MS. ELLIS:
- 3 Q. You mentioned a doctoral intern. Who is the doctoral
- 4 intern?

- 5 A. I believe her name was Emily Kanter, K-a-n-t-e-r, I
- 6 think.
- 7 Q. Is she the one who prepared the parenting report?
- 8 A. No, Mary Maguire from Adler Center did the parenting
- 9 assessment. Emily Kanter was from Kansas Health and she did
- 10 Child A's psychological evaluation.
- 11 Q. And she did that in -- before your July report, is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. I think so, yes.
- 14 Q. And since that time you have been to court a couple of
- 15 times, is that right?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. You have been in July and you have been in August and
- 18 maybe even --
- 19 A. September -- I mean, October.
- 20 Q. October. Okay, so three other times. Did anyone else
- 21 | support the recommendation of the intern?
- 22 A. No. I did not as quardian ad litem. Child Protection
- and the County Attorney did not. I called the Parenting
- 24 | Assessor Mary Maguire. She did not support the
- 25 recommendation. And so the Judge went with our

- 1 recommendations over the psychologist's recommendation.
- 2 Q. So, the psychologist that recommended that she be --
- 3 that there be some separation between Child A and the mother
- 4 was not supported by any of the other lawyers, guardian ad
- 5 litem or social workers; is that right?
- 6 A. Not that I know of, no.
- 7 Q. And that report was made available to the Judge in
- 8 Washington County, is that right?
- 9 A. I don't know what the Judge saw, the psychological -- I
- 10 mean, I know it was discussed that their recommendation was
- 11 different than everybody else's.
- 12 Q. And the Judge did not change or order anything different
- 13 than what had been in place prior to that report, correct?
- 14 A. The Judge ordered what Community Services was
- 15 recommending.
- 16 Q. Which is that -- the therapy, the MTS therapy?
- 17 A. Yes. Recommended, yes.
- 18 Q. And have you -- you said that you have had experience
- 19 with other cases involving sexual abuse, and that the abuser
- 20 might try and cozy up to a possible victim; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Have you seen any evidence in this case that Ms. Merrell
- at all is taking any action to groove or be a predator to
- 24 either of her children?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. The children have contact with their father, is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. He lives out of state?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. But he comes to visit a few times a year?
- 7 A. Several times, yes.
- 8 Q. And he was here recently, is that right?
- 9 A. I think he was here late summer.
- 10 Q. They also have phone contact with him, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. They also have access to a number of school
- 13 professionals, is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And child protection is involved?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, with respect to Child C, and the summer school
- 18 program, was that essentially worked out with the school and
- 19 Ms. Merrell as to how to improve Child C's reading level?
- 20 A. Yeah, they thought it would be helpful, but I don't
- 21 remember the Judge ordering it, specifically.
- 22 And so, yeah, the school felt that Child C was
- 23 | having some difficulty with his reading.
- Q. Because he had changed schools, is that right, a few
- 25 years earlier?

- A. Yes, right, several years earlier.
- 2 Q. And his reading level had dropped somewhat?
- 3 A. Right. The teacher told me it was comprehension she saw
- 4 him having a problem with.
- 5 Q. And this was a subject that was addressed in a hearing
- 6 in the CHIPS proceeding before Judge Schurrer, is that
- 7 correct?

- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And am I correct that it is Gary Schurrer who has
- 10 presided over the CHIPS proceedings for the last several
- months, maybe from the very beginning?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And if the children felt in any way that they were being
- 14 threatened, endangered, or abused, do they have adults in
- 15 | their life that they could contact?
- 16 A. Numerous.
- 17 Q. Thank you. No further questions.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Buzicky, anything
- 19 further?
- MS. BUZICKY: No, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: All right, you may step down. Thank
- 22 you very much.
- THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to leave, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes, you are.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

1 (Witness excused.) 2 THE COURT: Ms. Ellis, any further evidence that 3 you wish to put on on behalf of your client? 4 MS. ELLIS: No, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. Then again, I will hear arguments from Counsel in light of the evidence that was 6 7 provided to me over the course of several days and the 8 testimony of the witness, and also the legal issues that 9 were raised in the briefs that were provided by counsel. We 10 will go ahead and start with the Government. 11 MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, the United States is 12 seeking detention in this case as outlined in our motion and in our supplemental briefing, relating to the Adam Walsh Act 13 14 conditions. I would like to first briefly address the issue 15 of the Adam Walsh Act conditions. 16 Our briefing, I believe, has sufficiently 17 established that the Adam Walsh Act conditions are in fact 18 mandatory and the Court does not have discretion to either 19 limit or modify the condition regarding no contact between 20 an alleged victim and a defendant. 21 THE COURT: Let me just ask a question. Ms. Ellis 2.2 in her responsive brief raises the issue that if this Court 23 were to conclude under 3142 that Ms. Merrell could be 24 released on her own personal recognizance or an unsecured

bond with no other conditions, that therefore Subsection (c)

2.2

of 3142 which lays out the -- which addresses, among other conditions, the Adam Walsh conditions, doesn't kick in. And so, it has no application under those circumstances.

As I understand what she was saying, it only would apply and be mandatory if I concluded that the Defendant could not be released under her own personal recognizance or an unsecured bond.

MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, I think the language of the statute is clear that in any case that involves a minor victim, and then it lists a number of sections including the Section 2251 production of child pornography, any release order shall contain at a minimum the condition of no contact.

And so if you look at the scope of release orders, those are release orders spanning from the personal recognizance all the way up to the most restrictive release order, say a halfway house with very incarcerative conditions. Any release order means any release order. And I think our District favors a plain reading of the statute, because it is clear.

With respect to the argument for detention, the
United States continues to seek detention in this case.

This case is among the small subset of cases that are
brought in this District where a physical child known to all
of the parties and identified to the Court has been

2.2

physically abused and images or videos have been created, in this case a series of images. And in this case the egregious additional fact that these were created for money, that this is an example of somebody who has a psychological disorder or deficit of pedophilia, or some other paraphilia where they are literally in their mind driven to sexually abuse a child.

This is a person who is supplying those people for money. So, it is a cold and calculating act for money that cannot even be explained by a psychological disease or deficiency such as pedophilia.

Your Honor, in this case detention is the only appropriate situation for the Defendant because she poses a risk to other people in the community. And I would point the Court to a number of things that are in the documents that the probation officer had supplied, records of dangerous conditions for Minor A going back to infancy, including a Cottage Grove report from 2001, where a child, infant child Minor A is described as being surrounded by alcohol bottles in a smoke-filled room as parents are arguing and becoming physically violent with each other continuing all the way up to the present day where Minor A and Minor C are living with six other people in an 800-square-foot house.

Your Honor, the egregiousness of creating child

2.2

pornography for pay is just one factor in this case. The Defendant is a danger to others in the community and the Defendant should be detained. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Ellis?

MS. ELLIS: Your Honor, we ask that Ms. Merrell be released on her personal recognizance or an unsecured bond. That is required under Section 3142 of Title 18, unless the Court determines that it will not reasonably assure her appearance that she is going to flee or that she endangers the safety of others or the community. And I think that the testimony today and the fact that these allegations have been known to everyone since at least March -- there have been two proceedings in State Court -- the allegations have not changed. I have included a copy of the criminal complaint from Washington County with my responsive memorandum.

I mean, regardless of how it gets characterized as to how horrible it was four and a half years ago, there has been absolutely no evidence. And I believe that the Homeland Security agent who has been involved since at least March who testified there is no evidence that there has been any other misconduct, abuse, physical, sexual, toward Child A, or anyone else.

And so, I think that there is no basis by which to find that Ms. Merrell is a flight risk. She has made all of

2.2

her court appearances. She wasn't even required to post bail or subjected to any conditions in Washington County. She responded to a notice that came in the mail.

As far as endangerment, this is four and a half years ago. This guardian ad litem who is charged with looking out for the best interests of the child has clearly stated that Child A is going to be more traumatized and scarred. Child A did not even realize that this event had occurred back in 2010. And now her mother would be taken away from her and removed from the home. It is draconian.

I acknowledge that the Adam Walsh Act imposes some very severe penalties for acts that are proscribed, but it does not require that she be detained or that any of those conditions that are outlined in (c), those subparagraphs of (iv), (v), (vi) and (vii) of the smaller Roman numerals be applied unless the Court can find that she is a flight risk or will endanger someone else in the community.

And, as far as whether the house is in disarray, this Court can't really change the living conditions for the children. There is nothing to suggest that they are in harm's way or that Ms. Merrell has done anything to endanger her children. And I would urge the Court not to endanger Child A or C by removing their mother from them. And in an alternative to detention, which they have asked for, I would ask the Court to at least consider a placement in a halfway

2.2

house and not restrict the contact with the children because it is going to disrupt some very intense therapy.

This MTS therapy, which I had talked to the child protection worker about yesterday said it is the most intense therapy that they have. And they were fortunate to be able to arrange it and to restrict this family from continuing in therapy, regardless of what the charges are and what the potential penalties are.

We are not locking people up for life based on allegations or even on convictions. And this family has a -- has a bond that the federal prosecutors I think are off base in trying to disrupt and to punish some innocent children. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Having considered the evidence that was presented to me last week and the evidence that was presented here today, the various documents that were provided to me from the State Court proceeding, taking into account the arguments of counsel, the briefing by counsel, I am going to deny the Government's Motion For Detention.

However, I am going to be issuing an order setting conditions of release that I will go over with Ms. Merrell and then request that Ms. Ellis review with Ms. Merrell as well. That is the general overview.

That said, I am concluding that under no set of

2.2

circumstances does Ms. Merrell qualify for release under 18 U.S.C. Section 3142(a)(1) or (b), which would allow for her release on a personal recognizance or unsecured appearance bond. There is no basis. And I would not release her under those circumstances, as I do find that conditions must be put in place to reasonably ensure the safety of the community and also the safety of other persons, and including Ms. Merrell, herself. So, I do conclude that 3142(b) will not apply here and that, rather, I will be subjecting her to a combination of conditions under 3142(c), which will include as mandated by the Adam Walsh Act that she have no contact with the Minor Victim A, which we have called Minor A during the pendency of this Federal Court proceeding.

I reach the conclusion I do, first of all, because the presumption is, Ms. Merrell, that in fact you would be detained. That is the starting place. And then the question is whether I believe that evidence has been presented that would allow me to release you under certain conditions, a combination of conditions that would reasonably ensure the safety of other persons, including yourself, and also your continued appearance here in court.

I have concluded the presumption has been overcome, but not to the extent of releasing you on a personal recognizance, under your own personal recognizance,

2.2

or simply an unsecured bond. The only way that I can ensure the safety of yourself and other persons is to require a host of conditions that you must comply with, many of which are part of the State Court proceedings where you are required to, by Court Order, to follow certain recommendations and requirements that they have set forth.

So, once Section 3142(c) is triggered, that act is mandatory. It does require that the Adam Walsh Subsections (iv) through (viii) be incorporated into any release, and that includes avoiding all contact with the alleged victim which would be Minor A, with any potential witness who may testify concerning the offense.

So, with that, I have concluded that I will be placing Ms. Merrell in a halfway house. She will be prohibited from having any contact with Minor A. She will be permitted to have contact with Minor C and other children, so long as she is in the presence of a responsible adult who is 18 years or older. So -- and then there are other conditions I am going to be putting in place.

So, at this time I am going to review the Order setting conditions of release with Ms. Merrell. And then when I am done, I am going to ask if you will comply with them. If you agree that you will comply with them, I will then ask Ms. Ellis to actually review this Order with you so you can see it in black and white and you can see the

1 sanctions and penalty sections that would be brought to bear 2 against you if you were to violate any term or condition of 3 the Order. 4 Do you understand, Ms. Merrell? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor? May I respectfully seek 6 7 a 24-hour stay of this Order so that we can decide whether or not we wish to seek review at the District Court level? 8 9 THE COURT: No. She will be released to a halfway 10 You certainly are free to -- and I understand there 11 is a placement available today. I am not going to stay the 12 effect of the Order. The Government certainly has the 13 option of appealing the Order, as does the Defendant. And 14 then you can proceed with that. 15 If Judge Doty disagrees with my finding, whether 16 he would deem that she should be detained or whether he 17 deems she shouldn't be placed in a halfway house. Should 18 the Defendant appeal it, that will be the time for action at 19 that time. I will not stay the impact of this Order. 20 MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. It is ordered that the 2.2 Defendant is released, subject to the following conditions. 23 Number one, you must not violate any federal, state, or local law while on release. 24 25 Number two, you must cooperate in the collection

2.2

of a DNA sample if it is authorized by 42 USC Section 14135a.

Number three, you must advise the Court or

Pretrial Services Office or your supervising officer in
writing before you make any change of residence or phone
number.

Number four, you must appear in court, as required, and if convicted you must surrender as directed to serve a sentence as the Court may impose.

Number five, you must sign an appearance bond, if ordered, and I will be requiring that you sign an unsecured bond in the amount of \$25,000 which requires that you appear in court proceedings. If convicted, that you must surrender to serve a sentence that the Court may impose, and to comply with all conditions set forth in the Order setting conditions of release.

The next condition is that you must submit to the supervision by and report for supervision to the Probation and Pretrial Services Office. The phone number is provided here in this Order, and you must make contact at that number by tomorrow.

You must continue or actively seek employment.

You must abide by the following restriction on your travel.

Travel is restricted to the state of Minnesota unless

pre-approved by the United States Probation Officer.

2.2

You must avoid all contact directly or indirectly with any person who is or may be a witness in the investigation or prosecution including, and I am referring to your daughter, minor daughter, as Minor A in this Order.

The next condition is you must comply with all recommendations for your psychological -- that flow from your psychological and psychiatric evaluations.

The next condition is you must maintain a residence at a halfway house as the supervising officer considers necessary. And I am requiring that you be in a halfway house. The next condition is you must not possess a firearm, destructive device or other weapon.

The next condition is you must not use alcohol excessively.

The next condition is you will be subject to the following restrictions on your ability to leave the halfway house. You are restricted to the residence, the halfway house, at all times except for employment, education, religious services, medical substance abuse or mental health treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, Court-ordered obligations, or other activities approved in advance by the Pretrial Services Office or your Pretrial Services Officer.

The next condition, you must submit to location monitoring as directed by the Pretrial Services Officer, your supervising officer, and comply with all of the program

2.2

requirements and instructions provided. You will be subject to GPS electronic monitoring.

The next condition is you must report as soon as possible to the Pretrial Services Office or your supervising officer every contact you have with law enforcement personnel, including arrest, questioning or traffic stops.

The next condition is you must follow all

Court-ordered recommendations and requirements of the

Washington County Court. The next condition is you must

follow all recommendations of the Washington County Guardian

Ad Litem Program.

The next condition is you must agree to release all reports of the Washington County Court Services, Child Protection and Guardian Ad Litem Program to the United States Probation Office.

The next condition is that in the event the guardian ad litem recommends that Washington County jurisdiction ends, you must notify your United States Probation Officer of this recommendation.

The next condition is you shall not possess a computer or use a computer or have access to any online service without prior approval of the United States

Probation and Pretrial Services Office. Your cooperation shall include, but is not limited to installing, installation of a computer or internet monitoring program,

2.2

or identifying computer systems, internet capable devices and similar memory and electronic devices to which you have access.

Monitoring may include random examinations of computer systems along with internet, electronic and media storage devices under your control. The computer systems or devices may be removed for a more thorough examination, if necessary. And you shall contribute to the cost of such monitoring services based on your ability to pay as deemed appropriate by the U.S. Probation and Pretrial Services Office.

The next condition is you shall refrain from accessing that matter which relates to the activity in which you were engaged in committing the alleged instant offense, namely child pornography.

The next condition is you shall provide to the probation officer access to any requested financial information, including credit reports, credit card bills, bank statements and telephone bills. The next condition is you shall not associate with persons under the age of 18, except in the presence of a responsible adult who is aware of the nature of your background and current offense and has been approved by the probation officer.

The next condition is you shall submit your person, residence, office, vehicle or area under your

2.2

control to a search conducted by the United States Probation Office or a supervised designee at a reasonable time in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or a supervision violation. You shall warn any residents or third parties that the premises and areas under your control may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.

The next condition is you shall not possess, view or access pornography or other explicit images. You shall not own, possess or use photographic or video equipment, a camera, phone or other electronic device which can be used for covert photography without prior permission of your probation officer.

Finally, you shall not rent a post office box or storage facility without the prior approval of your probation officer. And before I ask if you are willing to comply with these conditions, Ms. Merrell, I do want you to know that at the halfway house you are going to be subject to a number of terms and conditions there. They are regulations that are not itemized here. I want you to know that if you violate any of their regulations, terms and conditions, those violations can trigger a violation of the Order setting conditions of release. It can lead to them terminating their relationship with you, meaning requiring that you leave the halfway house. I will not have another

```
1
       halfway house to place you in. So that, in and of itself,
 2
       could create a violation of this Order. So, I want you to
 3
       be aware of it. They have very strict rules and regulations
 4
       for you to follow.
 5
                 With that said, do you agree to comply with the
 6
       Order setting your conditions of release?
 7
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                 THE COURT: Pardon?
 8
 9
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
                 THE COURT: All right. Then, Ms. Ellis, if you
11
       could come up to the podium?
12
                 MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, I apologize for
13
       interrupting.
14
                 THE COURT: If you could come over to the -- so we
15
       can make sure we can hear you?
16
                 MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, I note that you ordered
17
       compliance with the guardian ad litem recommendations, and
18
       it appears at least from my reading of some of those
19
       recommendations they would conflict with the Adam Walsh
20
       conditions of no contact between Minor A and the Defendant.
21
                 So, I just ask that there will be a sentence
2.2
       inserted in your Order that those conditions be complied
23
       with so far as they do not conflict with this Court's Order.
24
                 THE COURT: I think that is a good request.
25
       response to that, Ms. Ellis?
```

```
1
                 All right, then before you come up, Ms. Ellis, to
2
       take a copy of this, let me add that language.
 3
                 All right. Ms. Ellis, do you want to come up to
 4
       the podium? If you would review the Order and bond with
       your client. Make sure she is aware of the sanctions and
 5
 6
       penalty section of the Order. And when she is done
7
       reviewing it with you, if she would sign both the Order and
 8
       the bond, and I will sign it, as well. We will go off the
9
       record.
10
                 (Adjournment.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
1
2
                           INDEX
3
4
5
      Defendant's Witness:
6
7
      GERI ROBBINS
8
9
          Direct Examination by Ms. Ellis Page
                                                      4
10
          Cross Examination by Ms. Buzicky
                                                       16
                                             Page
11
         Redirect Examination by Ms. Ellis
                                         Page 23
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	
6	
7	The foregoing transcript is a
8	transcription of the digital audio recording that was
9	produced in the above matter by Court staff and later
10	submitted to myself, Jeanne M. Anderson, for transcription.
11	An official court reporter was not present to produce a
12	stenographic and verbatim record of the aforementioned
13	proceeding at the time and place specified herein.
14	
15	
16	
17	Certified by: <u>s/ Jeanne M. Anderson</u>
18	Jeanne M. Anderson, RMR-RPR Official Court Reporter
19	official could nepoted
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	